

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO	
09/460,361	12/13/1999	AKIRA UTSUMI		2392	
7.	590 07/16/2003				
Jay P. Lessler			EXAMINER		
Darby & Darby, P.C. 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	13	
•			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 9-				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/460,361	AKIRA UTSUMI				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher C Pratt	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	April 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-13 and 15-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept		ıminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)  approved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/460,361 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's declaration and accompanying remarks filed 4/9/03 and 4/28/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-13, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemoto et al (6102465) in view of Nagata et al (6312542), as set forth in the previous three actions.

Applicant again argues that the combination set forth above does not posses the claimed tensile strength property and that it would not have been obvious to increase the tensile strength of the fabric. Applicant relies on the declaration as evidence that materials having high tensile strength have low sound absorption.

The examiner reiterates the position that even if applicant's tensile strength is not inherent in the fabric of Nemoto it would have been obvious to increase the tensile strength. Nemoto's fabric is subjected to a needling process and various needling processes alone have the capability of achieving extremely high tensile strengths, i.e. well above 150. Nemoto further employees the use of binder fibers, which also increase the tensile strength of a fabric. This combination of needling and the use of

binder fibers create a prima facia case of obviousness that Nemoto's fabric has a tensile strength above 150. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to modify the needling process and amount of binder fibers for the reasons previously set forth.

To rebut this finding, Applicant relies on the declaration, which concludes that increased tensile strength decreases sound absorption. However, applicant's conclusion is not commensurate with the experiments performed in the declaration. The declaration tests two different methods of binding: needling and water-jet. Therefore, the experiment does not offer reliable results because it uses two variables instead of one. In order to reach an accurate conclusion about the effect of tensile strength on sound absorption the same entanglement method must be used. Said rejection is maintained from the last action.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/460,361 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt July 2, 2003

CHERYL A. JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER